

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/467,901	12/21/1999	JOOST VAN NEERVEN	02405.0190	2936
22852 7590 10/04/2007 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP			EXAMINER	
			DO, PENSEE T	
901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1641	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/04/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/467,901	NEERVEN, JOOST VAN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Pensee T. Do	1641				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status -						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ju	ly 2007.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-23</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6, 8-23</u> is/are rejected.						
7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
. application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) ☐ Notice of Informal F					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 1641

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 was filed in this application after a decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, but before the filing of a Notice of Appeal to the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit or the commencement of a civil action. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the appeal has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114 and prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on July 19, 2007 has been entered.

Claims Status

Claims 1-6, 8-23 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 15 is indefinite because the last step recites "evaluating the immunological status of a subject while the preamble recites a method of detecting IgE antibody. Thus, it is unclear if the method is for quantifying IgE antibody, or to evaluate the immunological status of a subject or both.

Art Unit: 1641

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-5, 8, 10-12, 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jardieu et al. (US 6,037,453).

Jardieu teaches an assay protocol for IgE antibody, which comprises coating the Fc epsilon RI or RII (CD23) receptor on a well plate (carrier). In a separate plate, mixing the sample comprising an MaE11 (anti-IgE) and a reference murine MaE11monoclonal antibody was mixed with a biotinylated IgE (free dissolved ligand) (*mixture 1*). The Fc epsilon RII coated well is incubated with 50 ul of the *mixture 1* (forming mixture2); adding a streptavidin-HRP (horse-radish peroxidase) to mixture 2. Then the plate was washed-separation step; Adding a substrate to the plate for developing a detectable color. (see col. 42, lines 1-27). The label (streptavidin-HRP) does not associate with the

Art Unit: 1641

carrier/plate coating the Fc epsilon RII receptor because the streptavidin would bind to the biotinylated IgE (ligand). For claims 8 and 10, the label is a chemiluminescent compound and is covalently bound to a streptavidin and the ligand is bound to biotin. Regarding claim 15, Jardieu teaches a identifying humanized anti-human IgE antibodies that bind to FCEL (CD23)-bound IgE but not to FCEH(Fc epsilon RI)-bound IgE or which bind to IgE but do not induce histamine release or degranulation of mast cells. (see col. 3, lines 40-45). Thus, in order to know if the anti-human IgE antibodies bind to FCEH-bound IgE or not, another assay must be performed for the same sample using the FCEH-bound IgE to detect such anti-human IgE antibodies as a second measurement in order to conclude whether the anti-human IgE antibodies in the sample induces histamine release or degranulation of mast cells.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6, 16 and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jardieu in view of Arnold, Jr. et al. (US 6,004,745).

Jardieu has been discussed above.

However, Jardieu fails to teach a separation step before adding the labels to remove unbound carriers. Jardieu also fails to teach that the number of ligand

Art Unit: 1641

70011101110111001.007107,0

molecules (IgE) is between 100% to 200% of the number of IgE antibody being detected.

Arnold, Jr. discusses in the background section that a typical sandwich assay involves incubating an immobilized antibody (IgE receptor) with a test medium (sample). Antigens, if in the medium, will bind to the antibody. After incubation, unbound antigen is removed in a separation step. After a second, or simultaneous incubation with a solution of labeled antibody, the bound antigen becomes sandwiched between the immobilized antibody and the labeled antibody. After a second separation step, the amount of labeled antibody can be determined as a measure of the antigen in the medium. (see col. 1, lines 55-66).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to perform a separation step to remove unbound carrier before adding labels in addition to the separation step after adding labels as discussed in Arnold, Jr. using the reagents in the method of Jardieu because such second separation step, although time consuming, increases the sensitivity of the assay results. Furthermore, since the non-complexed immobilized antibody and the non-complexed labels are separated one at a time, cross-reactivity between the label and the immobilized antibody/reagent is eliminated.

Regarding claim 16, which claims the number of ligand molecules is between 100% and 200% of the number of IgE molecules to be detected, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art to use enough ligand molecules to optimize binding of all the IgE molecules to be detected. In order to detect 100% of the IgE present in the

Art Unit: 1641

sample, at least 100% of ligand molecules must be present to bind all the IgE present in the sample.

Claims 9, 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jardieu in view of Johansen (US 6,087,188).

Jardieu has been discussed above.

However, Jardieu fails to teach using an acridinium label; performing steps a and b simultaneously in one operation; using a particulate carrier such as paramagnetic particle.

Johansen et al. teach a method of detecting an antibody in a sample using a labeling compound and comprising the steps of mixing the ligand antigen, antibody or hapten bound to biotin with the sample; an antibody is directed against the antibody to be detected bound to a paramagnetic particles; and a chemiluminescent acridinium compound bound to avidin or streptavidin to form a solid phase complex; separating the solid phase from the liquid phase; and analyzing the separated solid phase for the presence of chemiluminescent complex. There are several embodiments. In one embodiment, the method comprises the following steps: mixing the ligand antigen, antibody or hapten bound to biotin or a functional derivative thereof with the sample and the antibody directed against the antibody to be detected bound to paramagnetic particles to form a first solid phase complex; adding a chemiluminescent acridinium compound covalently bound to avidin, streptavidin or a functional derivative thereof to form a second solid phase complex; magnetically separating the solid phase from the liquid phase; initiating the chemiluminescent reaction, and analyzing the separated solid

Art Unit: 1641

phase for the presence of the chemiluminescent complex. Johansen et al. also teaches the method for the quantification of specific antibodies, such as immunoglobulins, wherein a truly parallel reference immunoassay using an identical protocol as a reference. The method comprises measuring the concentration and/or the relative contents of a specific antibody in a liquid sample, wherein the measured light emission of a separated solid phase comprising a captured specific antibody coupled to a chemiluminescent label is compared with the measured light emission obtained in a parallel reference immunoassay wherein the total contents of the class of antibodies in the sample to which said specific antibody belongs is measured. The method comprising the steps of mixing a ligand antigen, hapten towards which the specific antibody to be measured is directly bound to biotin or a functional derivative thereof; an antibody directed against the constant portion of the antibody to be measured bound to paramagnetic particles and a chemiluminescent acridinium compound bound to avidin, streptavidin or a functional derivative thereof with the sample to form a first solid phase from the liquid phase; magnetically separating the first solid phase from the liquid phase; initiating a chemiluminescent reaction and measuring the light emission of the separated first solid phase; mixing a ligand antibody directed against the class of antibodies to be measured bound to biotin or a functional derivative thereof; an antibody directed against the constant portion of the class of antibodies to be measured bound to paramagnetic particles; and a chemiluminescent acridinium compound bound to avidin, streptavidin or a functional derivative thereof wherein the term total shall mean the entire amount of the designated class of immunoglobulins (e.g. IgA, IgE, etc.) With

Art Unit: 1641

the sample to form a second solid phase complex, magnetically separate the second solid phase form the liquid phase; initiating the light emission of the separated first solid phase with that of the separated second solid phase. The specific antibody to be measured in the sample is preferably a specific immunoglobulin selected from the group consisting of IgA, IgD, IgE, IgG, IgM and subclasses thereof. (See col. 3, line 30-col. 5, line 45).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art to modify the sandwich assay format of Jardieu according to the method taught by Johansen with the use magnetic particles as carriers and acridinium labels in a simultaneous operation because Johansen teaches that results can be obtained in 15 minutes from the time of sample dispension (see col. 8, lines 33-34) verses ELISA assay (method used in Jardieu) which takes approximately two hours. (see col. 2, lines 15-22).

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pensee T. Do whose telephone number is 571-272-0819. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached on 571-272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 9

Application/Control Number: 09/467,901

Art Unit: 1641

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Pensee T. Do Patent Examiner September 28, 2007

> LONG V. LE 69/30/01 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600